

UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in HU (201605)

UVic Course Experience Survey - Summer 2016


Project Audience 1348 Responses Received 642 Response Ratio 48%

Creation Date Mon, Sep 26, 2016




I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions 2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were








| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 642 |
| Mean | 4.50 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.83 |






| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 640 |
| Mean | 4.71 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.62 |


7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students 8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course

2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear




| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 641 |
| Mean | 4.49 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.77 |






| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 641 |
| Mean | 4.70 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.59 |

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course



| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 640 |
| Mean | 4.49 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.78 |

CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (HU 201605)




| Very Poor (0%) - Poor (1%) - Adequate (6%) - Good (20%) - Excellent (73%) - [Total (638)] | 50% | 100% |
|--|-----|-------|
| Statistics | | Value |
| Response Count | | 638 |
| Mean | | 4.65 |
| Median | | 5.00 |


Standard Deviation


+/-0.67

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear









| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 640 |
| Mean | 4.47 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.74 |

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair




| Statistics | Value |
|----------------|-------|
| Response Count | 642 |
| Mean | 4.45 |
| Median | 5.00 |

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear




| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 642 |
| Mean | 4.48 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.74 |

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning




| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 640 |
| Mean | 4.46 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.78 |

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)



| ard Deviation | +/-0.77 |
|---------------|---------|
| | |

| 7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning | |
|--|--|
| experience | |




| Median | 5.00 | |
|--------------------|---------|--|
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.93 | |

III Statements About The Students:


Interest (305) Program requirement (220) Reputation of Instructor (32) Reputation of course (24) Timetable fit (60) [Total (641)] 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350

My primary reason for taking the course.


The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend




Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was



The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:




As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:




IV Additional Statments:


The instructor shows mastery of subject matter.



Is this your first Medieval Studies Course?




Has this course enriched your knowledge and/or appreciation of the Middle Ages and the subject of this course?




Would you recommend this course to other students?


CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (HU 201605)




Do you plan to enroll in another Medieval Studies course?



The intellectual content of the lectures, discussion and exercises was appropriate to the level of the course.




The course developed an understanding and sensitivity for a range of intellectual viewpoints and cultural and social practices.




| Response Count | 40 |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.20 |
| Median | 4.00 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.94 |

Overall, how would you rate this course?



Overall, how would you rate instructor ?



How would you rate instructor 's ability to use the target language during classroom contact in order to facilitate students' listening and speaking skills?

| Very Poor (0%) – Poor (0%) – Adequate (13%) – Good (18%) – Excellent (70%) – [Total (40)] | 50% | 100% |
|--|-----|-------|
| Statistics | | Value |
| Response Count | | 40 |
| Mean | | 4.58 |
| Median | | 5.00 |

Standard Deviation

My Instructor gave time in class to complete this survey.

| Options | Count | Percentage |
|--|-------|------------|
| Yes | 246 | 40% |
| No | 281 | 46% |
| Does not apply (online course, field course, etc.) | 88 | 14% |